UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

IN RE: DAVOL, INC./C.R. BARD, INC., POLYPROPYLENE HERNIA MESH PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

Case No. 2:18-md-2846

CHIEF JUDGE EDMUND A. SARGUS, JR. Magistrate Judge Kimberly A. Jolson

This document relates to: ALL ACTIONS.

CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER NO. 9

Governing Initial Pleadings

I. SCOPE OF ORDER

This Order shall govern all actions in the above-captioned MDL proceeding ("this MDL") to the extent set forth herein that are directly filed in this MDL after the date of this Order or otherwise expressly identified herein.

This Order relates to Plaintiffs' filing and service of a Master Complaint, future filings of Short Form Adoption By Reference Complaints, Defendants Davol, Inc. and C.R. Bard, Inc.'s responsive pleading obligations, including Short Form Adoption By Reference Answers, and Rule 26 Initial Disclosures.

II. MASTER PLEADINGS

- A. <u>Master Complaint</u>: Within 14 days of entry of this Order, the Plaintiffs' Steering Committee shall file and serve a Master Complaint. The Master Complaint shall identify all products that are part of this MDL.
- B. Short Form Adoption By Reference Complaint: Attached as Exhibit A, is a sample Short Form Adoption By Reference Complaint ("Short Form Complaint") for use in this

MDL. Plaintiffs shall file and serve a Short Form Complaint in the form attached hereto in every action that is directly filed in this MDL following entry of this Order. For each action in this MDL, subject to this Order, the Master Complaint together with the Short Form Complaint shall be deemed the operative complaint.

- C. <u>Master Answer</u>: Subject to Section VII below (regarding motions to dismiss and for judgment on the pleadings), within 30 days after being served with the Master Complaint via the ECF system, Defendants named in the Master Complaint shall file via the ECF system a Master Answer which shall include all affirmative defenses to the Master Complaint.
- D. Short Form Adoption By Reference Answer: Each Defendant named in a Short Form Complaint will respond with a Short Form Adoption By Reference Answer ("Short Form Answer"). A sample Short Form Answer is attached as Exhibit B. The Short Form Answer may either adopt and incorporate the responses and defenses set forth in Defendants' Master Answer or assert new ones. The Short Form Answer shall serve as a general denial of all claims asserted in the Short Form Complaint or admit some and shall incorporate by reference defenses asserted in the Master Answer (or otherwise assert the defenses to that action). For each action subject to this Order, the Master Answer, together with the Short Form Answer, shall be deemed the operative answer. For any Plaintiff who utilizes a Short Form Complaint and identifies "Other Count(s") as set forth in Section 11 of the Short Form Complaint, by filing a Short Form Answer. Defendants will be deemed to have denied any and all asserted "Other Count(s)" and reserve all rights to assert any and all defenses to any and all "Other Count(s)" that are pled in accordance with Section II.E, below, and Section VII, below. For any Long Form Complaints that are filed in other jurisdictions and conditionally transferred to this Court via Conditional Transfer Order ("CTO"), Defendants shall be permitted to interpose a Short Form Answer.

- E. A subsequent Case Management Order will address the filing and service of Defendants' responsive pleading obligations and interposing more robust and detailed Answers for cases that are selected into the Bellwether Process. The same Case Management Order shall address the potential filing of amended complaint(s) for cases selected into the Bellwether Process.
- F. Service Under Rule 4: Defendants have agreed that they will waive formal service of process for cases directly filed in or to be transferred to this MDL. By waiving service of process and accepting complaints by email, Defendants are not waiving any affirmative defenses, but Defendants shall not challenge the adequacy of service if made pursuant to this Section below.

To effectuate service, Plaintiffs shall send an ECF-conformed or stamped copy of the filed complaint/short form complaint to FederalBardService@ReedSmith.com. By sending an e-mail to this address containing the ECF-conformed or stamped copy of the complaint, this will constitute good, valid and official service for these actions under FED. R. CIV. P. 4. Actions shall be considered served on the date and time of the transmittal of the e-mail containing the aforementioned items.

Electronic Filing: All Short Form Complaints must be filed electronically, absent extraordinary circumstances. Prior to any plaintiff's attorney filing a Short Form Complaint directly in this MDL, that attorney must register for, and obtain, a Southern District of Ohio login name and password. All attorneys must familiarize themselves with the Southern District of Ohio's Electronic Filing Policies and Procedures Manual published on February 22, 2013, found at:

http://www.ohsd.uscourts.gov/sites/ohsd/files/Electronic%20Filing%20Policies%20and%20Proc edures.%202013.0222.pdf, as well as the Guide to Filing a Civil Complaint that can be found at http://www.ohsd.uscourts.gov/sites/ohsd/files/attorney%20new%20case%20filing%20guide.pdf.

- H. Filing Fees: Internet credit card payments shall be required for all complaints and made online through Pay.gov. Plaintiffs' counsel will be prompted to pay the required filing fee. Information regarding filing fees can be found in the Electronic Case Filing Procedures Guide, at http://www.ohsd.uscourts.gov/sites/ohsd/files/Policies%20and%20Procedures%20Manual%20Fi nal%2001042016a.pdf.
- I. <u>Duties of Individual Plaintiffs' Counsel</u>: It is the duty of the counsel representing the individual plaintiffs proceeding hereunder to ensure that the Short Form Complaint(s) for his or her plaintiffs are completed properly, including any additional counts pled thereto. Nothing in this Case Management Order changes the respective roles and duties of the Plaintiffs' Steering Committee and the counsel for the individual plaintiffs as detailed in Paragraph 7 of CMO 3.

III. APPLICATION TO ACTIONS ALREADY FILED IN, OR TRANSFERRED TO, THIS MDL

- A. For any action filed in, or transferred to, this MDL before entry of this Order:
 - i. Defendant(s) shall Answer the operative complaint with a Short Form Answer within forty-five (45) days of entry of this Order or after being properly served with the Complaint in accordance with Section II.F., above, whichever is longer.

IV. NO LEXECON WAIVER

Consistent with CMO 2, nothing in this Order constitutes a waiver under Lexecon, Inc. v. Milberg Weiss Bershad Hynes & Lerach, 523 U.S. 26 (1998), by any party. However, nothing in this Order shall preclude the parties from agreeing to such waivers in the future. The issue of such waivers will be the subject of a future Case Management Order to address Bellwether Cases.\

 \mathbf{V} . TRANSFER TO FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT OF PROPER VENUE

Section F of Case Management Order No. 1 filed in this MDL [ECF No. 11] will apply to

when and how cases should be transferred to the federal district court in the district of proper

venue.

VI. CHOICE OF LAW

As set forth CMO 2, Section G, filing directly in this MDL—a Short Form Complaint—

pursuant to this Order will not determine the applicable choice of law, including the choice of law

for any of the claims in the action and for statute of limitations purposes. The fact that an action

was filed directly in this MDL pursuant to this Order will have no impact on choice of law. Choice

of law issues are reserved and shall be briefed, as appropriate, at a later date.

VII. MOTIONS TO DISMISS/JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS

Defendants reserve all rights to move to dismiss or for judgment on the pleadings under

Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 9 and 12.

VIII. RULE 26 INITIAL DISCLOSURES

The requirements of FED. R. CIV. P. 26(a)(1)(A) shall hereby be waived for all parties

going forward. However, in an effort to advance the litigation, Defendants Davol, Inc. and C.R.

Bard, Inc. each agree to provide a Master Initial Disclosure on or before December 7, 2018 2018.

Service of these disclosures shall be made on the PSC's co-lead counsel.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

EDMUND A. SARGUS, JR.

CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

MBERLY A. JOĽSON

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

5

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

IN RE: DAVOL, INC./C.R. BARD, INC.,
POLYPROPYLENE HERNIA MESH
PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

Case No. 2:18-md-2846

CHIEF JUDGE EDMUND A. SARGUS, JR. Magistrate Judge Kimberly A. Jolson

This document relates	to:
PLAINTIFF NAME.	

5.

Civil Action	No.
---------------------	-----

SHORT FORM COMPLAINT

Plaintiff(s) file(s) this Short Form Complaint pursuant to Case Management Order No. _____
and is/are to be bound by the rights, protections, and privileges and obligations of that Order.

Plaintiff(s) hereby incorporate(s) the Master Complaint in MDL No. 2846 by reference.

Plaintiff(s) further show(s) the Court as follows:

1. The name of the person implanted with Defendants' Hernia Mesh Device(s):

2. The name of any Consortium Plaintiff (if applicable):

3. Other Plaintiff(s) and Capacity (i.e., administrator, executor, guardian, conservator):

4. State of Residence:

District Court and Division in which action would have been filed absent direct filing:

6.	6. Defendants (Check Defendants against whom Complaint is made):		
		A. Davol, Inc.	
		B. C.R. Bard, Inc.	
		C. Other (please list:	
7::	ify which of Defendants' Hernia Mesh Device(s) was/were implanted (Check e(s) implanted):		
		3DMax Mesh	
		3DMax Light Mesh	
		Bard (Marlex) Mesh Dart	
		Bard Mesh	
		Bard Soft Mesh	
		Composix	
		Composix E/X	
		Composix Kugel Hernia Patch	
		Composix L/P	
		Kugel Hernia Patch	
		Marlex	
		Modified Kugel Hernia Patch	
		Perfix Light Plug	
		PerFix Plug	
		Sepramesh IP	
		Sperma-Tex	
		Ventralex Hernia Patch	
		Ventralex ST Patch	

		Ventralight ST
		Ventrio Patch
		Ventrio ST
		Visilex
		Other (please list in space provided below):
8.	Defe appli	endants' Hernia Mesh Device(s) about which Plaintiff is making a claim (Check icable device(s)):
		3DMax Mesh
		3DMax Light Mesh
		Bard (Marlex) Mesh Dart
		Bard Mesh
		Bard Soft Mesh
		Composix
		Composix E/X
		Composix Kugel Hernia Patch
		Composix L/P
		Kugel Hernia Patch
		Marlex
		Modified Kugel Hernia Patch
		Perfix Light Plug
		PerFix Plug

		Sepramesh IP
		Sperma-Tex
		Ventralex Hernia Patch
		Ventralex ST Patch
		Ventralight ST
		Ventrio Patch
		Ventrio ST
		Visilex
		Other (please list in space provided below):
9.	Date	of Implantation and state of implantation:
10.	Defe	of the date of filing this Short Form Complaint, has the person implanted with ndants' Hernia Mesh Device(s) had subsequent surgical intervention due to the Hernia Device(s)?: Yes No
11.	Basis	of Jurisdiction:
		Diversity of Citizenship
		Other:
12.		
		Count I – Strict Product Liability- Defective Design
		Count II – Strict Product Liability- Failure to Warn
		Count III - Strict Product Liability- Manufacturing Defect
		Count IV- Negligence

Count V- Negligence Per Se	
Count VI– Gross Negligence	
Count VII – State Consumer Protection Laws (Please identify applicable Consumer Protection law(s)):	State
Count VIII - Breach of Implied Warranty	
Count IX – Breach of Express Warranty	
Count X – Negligent Infliction of Emotional Distress	
Count XI – Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress	
Count XII - Negligent Misrepresentation	
Count XIII - Fraud and Fraudulent Misrepresentation	
Count XIV – Fraudulent Concealment	
Count XV – Wrongful Death	
Count XVI – Loss of Consortium	
Count XVII – Punitive Damages	
Other Count(s) (please identify and state factual and legal bases for other claims not included in the Master Complaint below):	
Jury Trial is Demanded as to All Counts	
Jury Trial is NOT Demanded as to All Counts; if Jury Trial is	
Demanded as to Any Count(s), identify which ones (list below):	

Case: 2:18-md-02846-EAS-KAJ Doc #: 61 Filed: 11/20/18 Page: 11 of 13 PAGEID #: 986

s/		
	Attorney(s) for Plaintiff	

Address, phone number, email address and bar information:

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO

IN RE: DAVOL, INC./C.R. BARD, INC.,) Case No. 2:18-md-2846

PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION	CHIEF JUDGE EDMUND A. SARGUS, JR.		
This Document Relates to:	Magistrate Judge Kimberly A. Jolson		
Case No.:))		
DAVOL, INC.'S AND C.R. BARD, INC'S SHOR' ANSWER TO PLAINTIFFS' SHORT FOR COMPLAIN	RM ADOPTION BY REFERENCE		
Pursuant to Case Management Order No. 9, 1	Defendants Davol, Inc. and C.R. Bard, Inc.		
("Defendants"), by and through their undersigned counsel, hereby submit their Short Form			
Adoption by Reference Answer.			
Defendants hereby incorporate by reference ar	nd adopt, as though restated in full, the		
Master Answer dated, 2018, including each	ch and every Affirmative Defense, and deny		
all causes of action in the Master Complaint and Sho	rt-Form Adoption by Reference Complaint		
unless expressly admitted.			
This Short-Form Adoption by Reference Ans	wer is not intended to and shall not waive		
any applicable defenses available to Defendants at the	is time, and Defendants hereby reserve the		
right to respond to Plaintiff's individual complaint by	way of any motions permissible under the		
Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or as set forth in CM	O No. 9, at section		
Defendants demand a Jury Trial on all Counts.			
Dated:	Respectfully submitted, /s/ [Insert Name] [Insert Firm Name] [Insert Firm Address]		
	[Insert Phone Number]		

Case: 2:18-md-02846-EAS-KAJ Doc #: 61 Filed: 11/20/18 Page: 13 of 13 PAGEID #: 988

[Insert Fax Number] [Insert E-mail]